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2022 AAUT BRIEFING SESSION: NEVILLE BONNER AWARD
NEVILLE BONNER AWARD FOR INDIGENOUS EDUCATION

• Introduced in 2002 as part of the Teaching Excellence Awards.
• Neville Bonner AO (1922-1999) was the first Indigenous Australian to sit in Parliament, and an elder of the Jagera people.
• An Indigenous activist and a political conservatist.
• His contribution to Australia has been recognised in perpetuity through the naming of a suburb of Canberra after him.
• This award is part of the:
  o **Citations for outstanding contributions to student learning** that recognise and reward the diversity of contributions made by individuals and teams to the quality of student learning.
  o **Teaching Excellence Awards** that recognise Australia’s most outstanding university teachers or teaching teams who have demonstrated excellence, leadership and sustained commitment to teaching and learning in higher education.
• Individuals must demonstrate their contribution to Indigenous education.
• Indigenous teaching staff only may nominate.
**Ongoing efforts to promote Neville Bonner Awards**

- Establish connections with Institutions Indigenous centre, PVC Indigenous Committee, Associate Deans Indigenous, academics and staff.
- Develop resources for wide distribution, like brochures and videos from past Neville Bonner recipients.
- Promote support services, i.e. AAUTN mentoring program and AAUT professional development sessions.
- Revise the assessment matrix to align with the Neville Bonner award requirements.
- Have a separate assessment panel for Neville Bonner award.

### Neville Bonner Award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nomination</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citations</strong></td>
<td>10 (8 awarded)</td>
<td>3 (1 awarded)</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teaching Excellence Awards</strong></td>
<td>2 (1 awarded)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (1 awarded)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2022 AAUT KEY CHANGES

- Funded by universities collaboration
- No prize money for Teaching Awards
- Standardisation of the assessment criteria across all award types
- Aligned the assessment matrix to Neville Bonner Award requirements
### CITATION AND TEACHING AWARD CATEGORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citations for Outstanding Contributions to Student Learning (Citations)</th>
<th>Awards for Teaching Excellence (Teaching Awards)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Select one discipline category</strong></td>
<td><strong>Select one discipline category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural and Physical Science, Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies</td>
<td>Natural and Physical Science, Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering, Information Technology, Architecture and Building</td>
<td>Engineering, Information Technology, Architecture and Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business, Management and Commerge</td>
<td>Business, Management and Commerge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society and Culture</td>
<td>Society and Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Arts</td>
<td>Creative Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
<td>Multi-disciplinary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Select one of the nomination categories

- **Early Career** (For academics with less than five years teaching experience in higher education institutions)
- **Neville Bonner Award for Indigenous Education** (For Indigenous teaching staff only)
- Sessional staff

Select one of the nomination categories

- **Early Career** (For academics with less than five years teaching experience in higher education institutions)
- **Neville Bonner Award for Indigenous Education** (For Indigenous teaching staff only)
For **Citation**, select **one** subcategory.

For **Teaching Award**, address **all four** subcategories.

- Approaches to teaching and/or the support of learning that influence, motivate and inspire students to learn.
- Development of curricula, resources or services that reflect a command of the field.
- Effective assessment practices that bring about improvements in student teaching, focus on academic integrity.
- Innovation or leadership that has influenced and enhanced learning and teaching and/or the student experience.
Address all four criteria under each subcategory

A. Positively impacted on student learning, student engagement or the overall student experience for a period of no less than three years.

B. Gained recognition from colleagues, the institution, and/or the broader community.

C. Shown creativity, imagination and/or innovation.

D. Drawn on the scholarly literature on teaching and learning to inform the development of initiatives, programs and/or practice.
NOMINATION PROCESS & KEY DATES

**NB Registration period**
Friday 29 April to Friday 20 May

**Understand AAUT awards**
Invite nominees to AAUT professional development sessions
By Wednesday 25 May

**Provide AAUTN Mentorship**
By Mon 6 Jun

**Attend AAUT Professional Development sessions**
June to July

ICO Account ID
Awards Portal
Nomination Instructions
Friday 12 Aug

Nominee Registration
Open: Monday 15 Aug
8.00am AEST
Close: Friday 26 Aug
11.59pm AEST

Submission Upload
Open: Monday 5 Sept
8.00am AEST
Close: Friday 16 Sept
11.59pm AEST
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AAUT ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Assessors
Oct to Nov 2022
Individual Assessment
Collaborative Assessment

Awards Committee
Dec 2022
Endorsement

UA Board
Feb 2023
Approval
2022 AAUT BRIEFING/PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SESSIONS (APRIL to JULY)

28 APR
Introduction to Neville Bonner Award for Indigenous Education

5 MAY
Institutional Contact Officers (ICOs) Briefing Session

19 MAY
Meet the 2018 to 2021 Australian University Teacher of the Year recipients

TBC
Writing a successful AAUT Citation application

TBC
Writing a successful AAUT Program Award application

TBC
Writing a successful AAUT Teaching Award application

TBC
Applying for a Neville Bonner Award for Indigenous Education

TBC
Applying for an Early Career application
Q&A

AAUT-UA webpage for more information

AAUTN for Mentoring Scheme

ICOs List for your Institutional Contact Officers

AAUT Awards Team: aaut@rmit.edu.au
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT CRITERIA</th>
<th>NR Not Recommended</th>
<th>FW Further Work Needed</th>
<th>R Recommended</th>
<th>HR Highly Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A. Positively impacted on student learning, student engagement or the overall student experience for a period of no less than three years. (25%) | - Unreliable, weak or limited evidence is provided of the influence on students of the initiative, program or practice.  
- Impact on students is not clear.  
- Limited or no sustainability of impact.  
- Evaluation appears to have been ad-hoc.  
- Limited changes have been implemented. | - Some connections between the initiative, program or practice and its influence on students are made.  
- Claims are supported by evidence from more than one source to demonstrate impact and sustainability.  
- Some evaluation has been conducted.  
- Some changes have been implemented. | - Connections made between the initiative, program or practice and its influence on students are highlighted in most instances.  
- Claims are supported by multiple forms of evidence from a range of sources in most cases.  
- Demonstrated impact on students, learning and graduate outcomes.  
- Evaluation has been carried out on the program, initiative, or program.  
- Some evaluation outcomes have been implemented to improve student learning. | - Explicit connections are made between the initiative, program or practice and its substantial influence on students.  
- Provide substantial evidence from an extensive range of sources.  
- Significant impact on student experiences, learning and graduate outcomes, sustained over time.  
- A systematic evaluation is an integral to initiative, program or practice.  
- Evidence of evaluation outcomes are being implemented to maximise positive impact on student learning, engagement or experience. |
| B. Gained recognition from colleagues, the institution, and/or the broader community, including Indigenous communities and Elders where relevant. (25%) | - Unreliable, weak or limited evidence provided to support claims that the nominee has gained recognition.  
- Recognition does not include adoption. | - Some evidence from selected sources supports claims of recognition from peers.  
- The initiative, program or practice has been adopted by others within nominee’s school or department. | - Several forms of evidence from a range of sources support claims of widespread recognition throughout the institution and the local community, including Indigenous communities and Elders.  
- The initiative, program or practice has been adopted across the institution or discipline. | - Substantial evidence from an extensive range of stakeholders’ support claims of widespread recognition throughout the institution and the community across the state or nationally, including Indigenous communities and Elders. |
| C. Shown creativity, imagination or innovation. (25%)                                  | - Unreliable, weak or limited evidence to support an explanation of how the initiative, program or practice is novel.  
- Context is not explicitly considered.  
- Influence and impact on student learning, engagement or overall experience is not articulated. | - Some evidence from selected sources supports an explanation of how the initiative, program or practice is novel.  
- Limited examples provided to demonstrated how the novel implementation is appropriate for the context, and has had impact on student learning, engagement or overall experience. | - More than one form of evidence provided with a succinct explanation of how the initiative, program or practice is novel.  
- Some examples provided to demonstrate how the novel implementation is appropriate for the context and has a positive influence on student learning, engagement or overall experience. | - Compelling explanation and evidence demonstrating innovation, program or approach is creative and novel.  
- An extensive range of evidence is provided to demonstrate how the novel implementation is appropriate for the context and illustrates significant influence and impact on student learning, engagement or overall experience. |
| D. Drawn on the scholarly literature on teaching and learning, including Indigenous teaching and learning, to inform the development of initiatives, programs and/or practice. (25%) | - Nomination refers to limited scholarly literature in relation to their teaching practice.  
- Limited description to their teaching philosophy. | - Nomination refers to scholarly literature of teaching and learning that informs their practice.  
- Teaching philosophy practice is articulated.  
- Some evidence is provided of engagement in scholarly practices. | - Nomination demonstrates an understanding of scholarly literature of teaching and learning, including relevant Indigenous scholarly literature.  
- Connections between teaching philosophy and scholarly practice are articulated.  
- Several forms of evidence provided of engagement in scholarly practices.  
- Contributions to the scholarship of teaching and learning. | - Nomination demonstrates a deep understanding and application of relevant scholarly literature of teaching and learning, including relevant Indigenous scholarly literature.  
- Connections between teaching philosophy and scholarly practice are clearly articulated.  
- Substantial evidence provided of leadership in scholarly practices.  
- Significant contributions to the scholarship of teaching and learning. |